REUSE Project

CCUS (carbon capture, utilisation and storage) and requirements in former eastern EU countries

Viennese Workshop-01/07/2025





Funded by the European Union Prepared by: Daniel Fleischmann Martha Bißmann Plamen Burnazov Michael Heidenreich



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101172954. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union.





Table of Contents

No.	Content
01	EU overall basis for CCUS
02	CCUS Technology in Reuse
03	Feedback of potential CCUS projects
04	CCUS – CO2 utilisation/conversion
05	Conclusion





1. EU overall basis for CCUS (1/2)

- Reduction of EU's net greenhouse gas emissions at least 55% by 2030 (from the current ~ 40%, compared to 1990 levels) under European Climate Law¹ as part of European Green
 Deal
- Climate neutrality by 2050 legally binding ("EU roadmap for climate neutrality") as main target
 - Corresponds to 3.204 Mt CO2 equi. (GHG) / ~ 2.582Mt CO2² overall reduction potential (from 2023 until 2050 "net zero emissions")

1990		2023		2030		2050	
Basis							
							reduction
							share "Net
	reduction		reduction		reduction		zero
Mt CO2 eq	share	Mt CO2 eq	share	Mt CO2 eq	share	Mt CO2 eq	emissions"
5.000	0%	3.204	36%	2.250	55%	0	100%

¹Regulation (EU) 2021/1119 ²share of 80,6% CO2 in GHG emissions



Note: the blue arrow corresponds to the evolution of GHG emissions compared to 1990 (excluding LULUCF and international aviation), the green arrows correspond to the 2020 and 2030 targets and the red arrow corresponds to the new target set by the "Fit for 55" package. Source: EEA and European Commission, 2023



1. EU overall basis for CCUS (2/2)

• Estimated outlook of realistic potential for CCUS technologies until 2050

"Remaining CO2 saving potential, which cannot be reduced by i.e. modernisation, adaption (change to electricity...)"

- CO2 storage CCS
 - EU 27 ~ 147 Mt CO2
 - Former eastern EU ~ 36 Mt CO2
- CO2 utilisation/conversion CCU
 - EU 27:
 - ~ <mark>173 Mt CO2, 1.730 plants*</mark>
 - Former eastern EU countries
 - ~ 43 Mt CO2, 429 plants*

¹ Source: <u>https:/</u>	/co2value	.eu
* Based on 100.	000t CCU	plants

Item	Value	Unit				
1. Overall CO2 reduction potential						
EU 27 countries	3.204	Mt CO2 equi.				
<mark>0</mark> 2	2.582	Mt CO2				
former 10 eastern EU countries	794	Mt CO2 equi.				
	640	Mt CO2				
2. Estimated overall CCUS po	2. Estimated overall CCUS potential					
EU 27 countries	320	Mt CO2				
former 10 eastern EU countries	79	Mt CO2				
2.1 Estimated potential for CO2 capture and st	orage "in undergrou	nd"				
EU 27 countries	147	Mt CO2				
former 10 eastern EU countries	36	Mt CO2				
2.2 Estimated potential for captured CO2 converted	ed to useful product	s CCU				
EU 27 countries	173	Mt CO2				
"share of overall CO2 reduction potential"	6,7%	-				
number of equivalent 100.000t CO2 CCU plants	1.731	-				
former 10 eastern EU countries	43	Mt CO2				
"share of overall CO2 reduction potential"	1,7%	-				
number of equivalent 100.000t CO2 CCU plants	429	-				





2. CCUS Technology in Reuse – CO2 capture





3. Returned filled-in inquiries: *Pyrolysis and use for construction and agriculture (Kynast – KfW) – 1/2*

Quantitative

Energy & Resource Efficiency: Water supply: -5-10%, Energy supply: -11-15%

CO2 reduction: -11-15%

Qualitative

Industrial Compatibility of Pyrolysis (integrated seamlessly into existing industrial processes without causing disruptions to production efficiency, quality, or continuity): [Likert: 4 – Agree]

Technology Readiness & Scalability (...adaptation to different operational scales): [Likert: 4 – Agree]

Operational Flexibility (operate efficiently under varying industrial conditions): **[Likert:** 4 – Agree]



Reuse

3. Returned filled-in inquiries: *Pyrolysis and use for construction and agriculture (Kynast – KfW) – 2/2*

Qualitative

Heat & Energy Integration (...effectively utilize industrial waste heat to enhance energy efficiency) [Likert: 4 – Agree]

Space & Infrastructure Considerations (... designed to minimize space requirements and integrate seamlessly into existing industrial sites): **[Likert:** neutral]

Sustainability & Future Scaling-up (... prioritize long-term sustainability): [Likert: 4 – Agree]



<u>Ref.: https://www.carbon-twister.com/?gad_source=5&gad_campaignid=20691443491&gclid=EAIaIQobChMI0ufpgaWHjgMVSQ</u> uiAx006jvuEAAYASAAEglyK_D_BwE



Feedback of potential CCUS projects – quantitative 1/2

CCU - Processes	Pyrolysis	Bioethanol production 1	Post-combustion capt- ure with amine solv. Prod.	Bioethanol production 2
Energy & Resource Efficiency - energy	- 11-15%	-20-30%	- 11-15%	-20-30%
Energy & Resource Efficiency - water	-5-10%	- 16-20%	- 11-15%	<mark>- 16-20</mark> %
Environmental Impact - CO2 reduction	- 11-15%	-20-30%	<mark>- 16-20</mark> %	-20-30%

- Analysis result twins of two responded interviewers regarding quantitative criteria of Bioethanol productions
- With Reuse novel BCS (biomass combustion system)-RPB-CO2R system we intend to be in a range of the Pyrolysis processes.





Feedback of potential CCUS projects – qualitative 2/2

CCU - Processes	Pyrolysis	Bioethanol production 1	Post-combustion capt- ure with amine solv. Prod.	Bioethanol production 2	
Industrial Compatibility	4	5	4	5	
Technology Readiness & Scalability	4	5	4	5	
Operational Flexibility	4	5	5	5	
Heat & Energy Integration	3	5	5	5	
Space & Infrastructure Considerations	4	5	4	5	
Sustainability & Future Scaling-up	4	5	5	5	

- Analysis result twins of two responded interviewers regarding qualtitative criteria of Bioethanol productions - it is not evident this should always be the objective ?

- Taking the TRL 5 level of, Reuse pilots into account we can identify and de-risk systems interfacing without compromising system performance in respect of technical efficiency and sustainability. Related hypothesis is under investigations among the competing CCU- processes.





4. CCUS-CO2 utilisation/conversion





5. Conclusions

- Bioethanol can be produced via mild processes such as fermentation, which occur under near-ambient conditions and offer significant advantages over high-temperature methods like pyrolysis.
- The low operational temperatures imply minimal energy input, thereby reducing associated emissions from conventional fuel sources.
- Water loss through evaporation is expected to be negligible, suggesting a potentially lower water footprint.
- The CO₂ produced is likely to be highly concentrated and relatively pure, facilitating straightforward capture and separation.

Summarized: These are all based on logical assumptions, however they may not be entirely valid. The only way to know for <u>sure is through detailed</u> <u>analysis in Reuse</u>.



Thank you!

Visit our website: www.reuseproject.eu Follow us on LinkedIn: in REUSE - Horizon Europe Project Write to us: info@reuseproject.eu



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101172954. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union.



Newcastle



novozymes 😤











